

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oliver Meyer,

CASE NO. C 07 3755 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

v.

City of Albany, a public entity; Officer  
Manny Torrez #16; and DOES 1-10, Jointly  
and Severly,

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
☒ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)(With a Magistrate due to the subject matter of the dispute).

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*


**Private Process:**

- ☐ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered. )*  
☒ other requested deadline by the end of February 2008 or early March 2008.

Dated: 9/29/07

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Hunter Pyle

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant(s)  
Loren B. Hopkins

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oliver Meyer,

CASE NO. C 07 3755 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

v.

City of Albany, a public entity; Officer  
Manny Torrez #16; and DOES 1-10, Jointly  
and Severally,

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
☒ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)(With a Magistrate due to the subject matter of the dispute).

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

- ☐ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered. )*  
☒ other requested deadline by the end of February 2008 or early March 2008.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: 26 September 2007

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Hunter Pyle

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant(s)  
Loren B. Hopkins

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration
- ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- ☒ Mediation
- ☐ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- ☐ 90 days from the date of this order.
- ☒ other by end of Feb. 2008 or early March 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/09/7

